P.

26/

G. R. S. App. F. IX-4]

1942-

(Forest)

File No. 172-42.

Serial Nos. 1-4.

Previous References.

Later References.

Fl Dep 14 of 44.
Fl 07 mu 142

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 4

P) 35/(8)

Part B for Sept 43.

SUBJECT.

Proposal to appoint Sri P. W. Muthanna, Ranger who has returned from America as Sub Asst Conservator for R. Jones.

To,

The compiler

No. A.F. 986 (8) 23/43.

Sl. 4)

Notifies the appointment

Orent

RPB 28.IV.43

From the C. C. 7.

No: E 205-627/42 dt 24.2.1943.

Proposing the appointment of the P. W. Muthanna, Ranger who has returned from America as Sub Asst Conservator of Forests.

1) Mr. Muthanna who was a Ranger, temporary, on Rs 57/- in grade 50.3.65 went to America in November 1940 for advanced study in Forestry. The C.C.7 states that the candidate returned after successfully completing the training and obtaining the degree of Master of Forestry at the University of Washington and reported for duty on 4th Feb 1943 and recommends that his case may be treated as analogous to that of Mr Keshav Gopal Naidu and that he may therefore be appointed as Sub-Assistant Conservator on Rs 125-15-200.

2) All those who have returned from similar foreign training or from Dehra Dun subsequent to the appointment of Mr Keshav Gopal Naidu have been started as probationers on Rs 100/- for one year and have been given Rs 125/- pm after the period of probation till their absorption as S.A.C. (During the latter period they have to be in charge of Ranges as per G.O. no. A-1728-9/ ft 2-38-86 & 9.10.1939) The C.C.7 now states that as Mr Muthanna has already served

This is sent in another Cover

as Range officer for 3½ years, a probationary period in his case is superfluous. He has not stated whether he was in independent charge of a Range. This has perhaps to be ascertained.

3) In this connection it is noted that Mr. G. N. L. Sharma who went to Dehra Dun in April 1940 and returned after successful training in April 1942 is appointed as a probationer on Rs 100/- as against Rs 125/- recommended by the C.C.F. Prior to his going to Dehra Dun he was a Ranger attached to the Forest Research Laboratory. On his return from Dehra Dun he was directly posted to the charge of a Major Range and he is now posted as Instructor in the Forest Ranger School. His representation to be appointed as S.A.C. was not viewed favourably and an endorsement to this effect is under issue. It is not clear whether this candidate is to be treated as senior to Mr Muhammad or vice versa. Mr. Muhammad was however senior to Mr Sharma prior to going for advanced study.

4) The other point for consideration is there is no vacancy in the grade of Sub A Sub Conservators now. The CCF has submitted proposals for the creation of an additional S.A.C. in connection with the exploitation of Shal forests in Manjaralbad & the recommendation is to appoint Mr Muhammad as S.A.C. against this proposed post. If this post is not sanctioned

Sub separately

and if the appointment of Mr Muthanna as S.A.C is approved, he will then have to be treated as a Supernumerary.

5) This is Council matter.

Mr. 3/3/43

6) For what period did Mr Same work as Ranger, before going to Dehradun

7) He was appointed as Ranger on Rs 50/- from 26.8.39 and continued as such till 25.3.40 from which date he was granted Leave to go to Dehra Dun. 3/3/43

3/3 Mr. 5/3

8) Because Mr Muthanna had put in 3½ years of service as Ranger before proceeding to America and is stated to have acquired necessary working knowledge and experience of the routine Departmental operations he is not proposed to be appointed as a probationer but recommended to be appointed as Sub Asst Conservator, against the post recommended to be newly created for the Ranjarabad Range. This file was ~~under examination~~ submitted separately, and the reference file regarding the Plywood factory was ordered to be put up. It is only after orders are passed sanctioning the post that a Sub Asst Conservator's post will be available

9 Meanwhile the question whether Mr Muthanna could be appointed as Sub-Asst Conservator without any period of probation has to be considered and decided, in view of the CCF's recommendation that probation in his case is superfluous.

Genl Secy

9. 3/43

10 The case of Mr Muthanna has to be treated in just the same way as those of Messrs N. S. Bhagavan, D Nanjundappa, Gulab Singh & Y. N. Sharma. All these above-mentioned persons were on return from training abroad or at Dehra Dun were first taken in as probationers for one year on Rs 100 & placed in charge of major Ranges. Mr Muthanna's case is on all fours with theirs & there is no reason to depart from the rule & appoint Mr Muthanna as S.A.C straightaway. Moreover there is no vacancy of SAC as yet. The two posts one of Nanjunda & the other of the CF's office Shimoga have been prorated, neither of these have been sanctioned. Again Mr Muthanna's appointment as SAC would involve the supercession of Mr Sharma who cannot perhaps be a Ranger —

17 No. C.C says that Bhagavan & Nanjundappa had no jobs before being appointed Probationers. Gulab Singh was only a forester & was in charge of Probationers. Sharma was a Ranger for 6 months in the Research Laboratory. These people cannot be compared with Muthanna who was a Ranger for 3½ years

11 MAR 1943
5016

permitted, since the latter person was also a Ranger in the Dept before deputation like Mr Mulhanna & after his return his work has been considered to be satisfactory. Mr Mulhanna may according to be appointed as a Probationer for one year & put in charge of a major Range before being confirmed and taken up as S.A.C.

" If however it is considered necessary to appoint Mr Mulhanna as S.A.C. earlier in this case the consideration after Mr Sharma is absorbed as such in July 1943 or earlier if needed by appointing the latter as SAC in his present post of instructor in the Forest Rangers School, the next vacancy which may arise being utilized to appoint Mr Mulhanna as S.A.C. In any case there seem to be no justification to supersede Mr Sharma by appointing Mr Mulhanna as SAC earlier than the former. Consd case

Minister F&R

12 C.C. may discuss this with me

7904
12.3.43.

13/3

13/3

13/3
15/3/43

Appointment of Messrs G. M. L. Sharma & Muthanna as Sub-Forest Conservators

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR FINANCE & REVENUE
23 MAR 1943
No.

GENERAL SECRETARY.
15 MAR. 1943
5936

TYPING SECTION
15 MAR. 1943
GEN. & LEG. DEPTT.

13 Draft to CCG & Subd

Gen Secy 14

15

16 Issue

Issued No. MF 49.81 dt 15.3.1943.

18) Please see the marginal notes.
The proposal may be accepted & the M. appointed as S.F.C. in the next vacancy.
Please put up after the appts proposed are sanctioned.

P.C.
29.3.43

19 Pt
Pl. see post up after the appts pending SFC & Manjunathaiah is sd

20) Orders have issued sanctioning the appointment of a Sub Forest Conservator on Rs 125-15-200 with certain Ext

to be in charge of Ranger in Adpare copy of the G.O. No. AF-5947-9 | Ft-169-42-2 dt 30.4.43 is placed in the file for reference. The file is re submitted as directed at para 18 supra

21) The establishment of the Mukhanna as S.A.C. against the post recently sanctioned is for order

4/5/43

4/5

22) Mr Mukhanna may be appointed as SAC as minuted in para 18 supra. This is a council case.

23) Minister L.F.C. — This would result in Mr Mukhanna superseding any of his seniors. While there is no need to insist on a probationary period, there is, at the same time, no case for his superseding anyone.

6/5

24) Messrs Bhagavan Nanjundappa & Gulab Singh having already been absorbed as SAC's the only officer who falls considered to have a claim to be promoted as SAC earlier than Mr Mukhanna is Mr Y.M.L. Sharma.

I note below the particulars of service qualifications etc of the two officers for purpose of comparison:—

GENERAL SECRETARY. 7 MAY 1943 7411

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR INDUSTRIES & COMMERCE MAY 7 1943 No. 243

GENERAL SECRETARY. 7 MAY 1943

Name of officer	Sharma	Mukhanna
Date of birth	19-9-1913.	
Entry into service	9-7-1937 (It is not clear if he was appointed as Forester or Ranger initially).	
Date of appointment as Prob. Ranger	26-8-1939.	5-40 (Date of promotion to present pay Rs 50-3-61).
Duties performed as Prob. Ranger	Attached to Forest Research Laboratory.	Attached to Working Plans
Period of service as Ranger before proceeding for training.	8 months	3½ years
Qualifications - General Technical.	M.Sc. - Forest Superior Forest Officer Course, Training Forest College, Dehra Dun.	B.Sc. LL.B. - Forest Department Degree of Merit in Forestry - (Working Plan Kumaon U.S.A.).
Date of Return from higher training	24-5-1942	4-2-1943
Remarks -	After ~~Since~~ return from Dehra Dun was appointed as Forest Probationer on Rs 100 from 25-4-42 & posted in charge of a major Range.	—

(25) 11 - Mr Mukhanna's case for immediate absorption as SAC is to be considered on the analogy of that of Mr Keshavanand Nadkarni. Mr Sharma ~~has~~ also had ~~to be~~ on the same ground to have been appointed as SAC on his return from Dehra Dun

instead of as a Probationer as in the case of Mrs Bhagavan Nanjunappa v Gulab Singh. If this point had been conceded then Mr Sharma would have been SAC for nearly a year now instead of wherein he is only a probationer. No doubt Mr Muthanna was senior to Mr Sharma in the cadre of temporary Rangers but having returned nearly 10 months earlier from ~~having~~ higher training to having the Mr Muthanna now to Mr Kesava Gopal Naidu before ~~a~~ been a Ranger before proceeding for training. Mr Sharma had as good as case as the latter for given to be absorbed ~~a~~ as SAC ~~to~~ ~~a~~ a claim to be ~~an~~ appointed as such earlier than Mr Muthanna. Neither Mr Muthanna nor Mr Sharma were in charge in a ~~the~~ Range, prior to their higher training, as temporary Rangers ~~as temporary Rangers~~. Mr Sharma has this additional claim now of having been in charge of a Major Range after returning from Dehra Dun Training. To promote Mr Muthanna on the analogy of Mr Kesava Gopal Naidu's case as SAC in preference to Mr Sharma would be to ~~do~~ deny the same concession to the latter whose case is also on all fours with the case of ~~the applicants~~

Messrs Naidu & Muttanna - In fact this has been the cry of Mr Sharma ever since his return from Dehra Dun but his representations have been turned down as it was considered that he could not be ~~[illegible]~~ treated better than others who had returned earlier after foreign qualifications. ~~earlier~~ On a just & fair view of the case it seems that Mr Sharma having been earlier in the field & being no worse in other respects than Mr Muttanna may be preferred to the latter in making promotions to the grade of S.A.C.

26 In any case Mr Sharma has already completed his probation of one year on 25-4-43. & ~~as~~ has, as has been done in the case of Messrs Bhagavan Nagappa & Gulab Singh, to be allowed to draw a pay of Rs 125 p.m from that date. It is not known why the C.C.T. has not asked for orders in the matter yet tho' ~~it~~ the period of probation is over. 1/- Mr Sharma is to be allowed this pay of Rs 125 which is the minimum of the grading SAC, it is as well to absorb him as SAC straightaway, when there is a vacancy. Now that ~~such a~~ vacancy of SAC

is available it is for consideration if taking all things into account Mr Sharma may not be absorbed as SAC in the present vacancy in preference to Mr Muthanna. There will soon be another vacancy of SAC to occur in connection with the proposal to have a Tech. Asst. to the Conservator of Forests Shimoga circle which has been accepted, ~~[illegible]~~ the approval to that same being awaited. ~~[illegible]~~ The latter vacancy would be available for the appointment of Mr Muthanna ~~[illegible]~~ after the creation of the additional appointment is sanctioned.

Minute ITC — 8/5

18 S. Min. 312/10.5.43.

27. The file may be sent to the C.C.F. W/o for his remarks in the light of the above note.

22/5

24/5

No. 17248 Date 26/5/43 Section

2.0 No 2420 25-5-43.

Forwarded with Compliments to the Chief Conservator of Forests in Mysore Bangalore for favour of remarks.

H M Sreenivasaiya 25/5/43
for General Secretary to Govt.

Rs. 10/5

U.O. No. 23/42-43
dated 8-6-1943

3315/A5

38

28 Retransmitted with compliments.

GENERAL SECRETARY
9 JUNE 1943
10 JUNE 1943

29 1. Of the two officers referred to in the note Mr. Muthanna was the senior as he was appointed as Ranger on 9th July 1937 i.e. twenty five months previous to Mr. Sharma.

30 2. Mr. Sharma's case is not analogous to that of Mr. Keshavagopal Naidu as the former had put in a service of only 8 months and that too in the Forest Research Laboratory, against 2 years' service of Mr. Keshavagopal Naidu. It is perhaps for this reason that Government considered Mr. Sharma's case analogous to that of Messrs. Bhagavan, Nanjundappa and Gulab Singh and turned down not only Mr. Sharma's request for appointment straight away as an Sub Assistant Conservator but also my proposal for granting him an initial salary of Rs. 125/- per mensem. Mr. Muthanna's case on the other hand is similar to that of Mr. Naidu as he had put in a service of 3½ years nearly.

31 3. When officers were allowed to go for advanced training on previous occasions, it was explicitly ordered that they will have no claim over their seniors after their return from foreign training vide Chief Secretary's letter No.G.2706/Ft. 92-31-2 dated 30-9-1931. To quote a specific instance - though Mr. J.P. Mascarenhas returned from foreign training and was appointed as an Sub Assistant Conservator much earlier than Drs. Kabir and Ramaswamy yet the seniority of the latter two officers was not allowed to be affected adversely and they were allowed on return from foreign training to rank above Mr. J. P. Mascarenhas. On the same analogy Mr. Muthanna who

who was a senior to Mr. Sharma cannot be considered to have lost his seniority by his subsequent return from advanced studies. This applies all the more as he reported himself for duty even before the expiry of the period of probation of Mr. Sharma. The delay in his return was due to reasons beyond his control as he was unable to secure a passage to India.

4. Separate proposals have been submitted to Government already (vide my letter No.E.278-859/42 dated 19-5-1943) about giving Mr. Sharma a pay of Rs.125/- from 25-4-1943 (the date of his completing the probation period).

This is received & is being dealt with separately

5. Proposals in regard to filling up the post of Gazetted Assistant to the Conservator of Forests, Shimoga Circle, have been submitted to Government already in my letter No.E.133-424/42 dated 19th May 1943. In this connection it may be stated that my recommendation was for the appointment of a Gazetted Office Assistant to relieve the Conservator of Forests of routine duties and not for the appointment of a Sub Assistant Conservator, although the scale of pay proposed for this appointment was identical with that of a Sub Assistant Conservator. If the intention was to appoint an executive officer, the surrender of a vacancy in the ministerial establishment would not have been proposed.

— do —
The file is before the Minister. G.T.S.
10/6

Chief Conservator of Forests.

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

34 Instead of explaining how Mr.Muthanna's case is similar to that of Mr.Keshavgopal Naidu, the Chief Conservator of Forests has tried to show how Mr.Sharma's case is not similar to that of Mr.Keshavgopal Naidu. At the end of the 1st para of his note he however says that Mr.Muthanna's case is similar to that of Mr.Naidu as he had put in a service of 3½ years nearly. According to the spirit of the G.O. dated 9-10-39 re: probationers, the point to be considered before even appointing one as Sub Asst. Conservator is whether he was in charge of a Range. The statement on page 15 of Forest file 2 of 1938 Serials 73 etc., shows that Mr.Naidu was working in certain ranges before he went for training. The Chief Conservator of Forests has not stated whether Mr.Muthanna was in charge of a Range at any time before he went for foreign training. The three previous officers who had foreign training were according to existing orders appointed as probationers for one year and after preliminary training were posted to Range charges and continued as such till they were appointed as Sub Asst. Conservators. While appointing Mr.Sharma as Probationer, it was clearly stated that he cannot be treated more generously than those who returned prior to him. This perhaps equally applies to Mr.Muthanna. Immediately on his return Mr.Sharma was posted to a major Range charge. This shows that the Chief Conservator of Forests considered that the preliminary training as a Ranger was unnecessary in his case.

35 The condition mentioned in para 3 of Chief Conservator

of Forest's U.O.Note is a saving clause mentioned in the cases of all those who went for foreign training at their own cost. The cases of Messrs.Kabir and Ramaswamy are different from the case of Mr.Mascarenhas. The former were deputed for foreign training by the Department while the latter Mr.Mascarenhas went at his own cost. Besides Messrs.Kabir and Ramaswamy were permitted to remain in Germany to complete the Doctorate. Otherwise they would have returned earlier. The case of Mr.Mascarenhas cited by the C.C.F. is also more favourable to Mr.Sharma than to Mr.Muthanna. That is, Mr.Sharma having returned earlier and having now finished the probationary period of one year may as in the case of Mr.Mascarenhas be appointed as Sub Asst. Conservator without prejudice to the seniority of Mr.Muthanna, when he is appointed as Sub Asst. Conservator after undergoing the usual training as Probationer just like others.

24/6/43.

had put in a service of 3½ years as temporary Ranger. He was all the time attached to the Working Plans Section. He has not had till now any training in the regular work of the Depot. It was for this reason that Govt considered it desirable in the case of probationers to give them training as Rangers for a couple of years before promoting them as SACs. It would not be in the departmental interests to appoint Mr Multanna as SAC immediately before he has been in charge of a Range for sometime at least to pick up the departmental routine. Considering that his only previous experience of the Departmental work is limited to one section of work not the regular one, i.e. Working Plans, he is little different from a raw Probationer so far as the Range work which is the main thing is concerned. In this respect Mr Sharma who has been in charge of a Major Range for nearly a year after his return from Dehra Dun is perhaps better qualified for being appointed SAC, as in other respects also he is in no way inferior to Mr Multanna. Besides Mr Sharma is due to get Rs 125 from

Flags C & S

36 Kind reference is invited to CCF's U.O note dated 8-6-43 in the file with reference to the minute in Para 29 supra.

37 The CCF's analogies are equally applicable to ~~[illegible]~~ Mr Muthanna as well as to Mr Sharma. Their cases are really so identical (except for the length of service as temporary rangers put in by them before proceeding outside for training) that what applies to the one applies to the other. If ~~[illegible]~~ Mr Muthanna's case is analogous to that of Mr Kesavaappal Naidu so is Mr Sharma's. & on this view the latter ought to have been made SAC as soon as he returned from Dehra Doon. In the case of Mr Moscarenhas quoted by the CCF Govt appointed him S on his return from Germany after training giving him a special start of Rs 120. If the same precedent had been applied in this case Mr Sharma would have been SAC from 25-4-42 itself. instead of being treated as a probationer.

Flag N

38 It seems that these analogies & parallels are misleading & the real point at issue is whether or not Mr Muthanna is fit to be made SAC right now as proposed by the CCF in preference to Mr Sharma. ~~The~~ Tho' Mr Muthanna

25-4-43- To give him this pay (the minimum of the grade of S.A.C.) & yet send him back as Ranger after he has been in charge of a major range for nearly a year with a view to promote another apprn who has not yet had training as Ranger ~~[illegible]~~ which is essential for an apprn to be an SAC is a proposition which cannot perhaps be accepted.

39) It seems necessary to place Mr Muthanna in charge of a Range for some time to ~~[illegible]~~ complete his training before he can be considered fit to be appointed as SAC. Mr. Sharma who is quite fit in every way may perhaps be appointed as SAC in the available vacancy.

40) Council case

Minister's note

41) It is clear that Mr. Muthanna is senior to Mr. Sharma by reason of the fact that he was appointed a ranger on 9-7-1937 while Mr. Sharma was appointed as probationary ranger in 1940. The General Secretary's note (para no.24) of 8-5-1943 is rather misleading.

42) I do not think we need insist on Mr. Muthanna serving a term as probationer in actual charge of a range, in view

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER
FOR INDUSTRIES & SUPPLIES
JUN 25 1943
No. 1354

view of his past service, qualifications ... rly when the C.C.F. considers him fit for appointment as S.A.C. I therefore think that the C.C.F's recommendation may be accepted.

13/7/43

14/7

15 JUL 1943
316

~~Consider case~~
~~for favour~~
43 For orders as to whether the record may be disposed of by circulation.
Dewan) 15/7

(44) 17/7/43

17/7

Circulation Memo
17.7.

(45) Submit with a note for Circulation.
GC Secy)
19.7.43.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
20 JULY 1943
373

Please make a fair copy & put up
21/7
21.7.43.

Fair copy of the note submit
GC Secy)
23.7.43

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
23 JULY 1943

Chief Secy., with compliments)
23/7

Submitted with a circulation Memo.
29/7/43
29/7/43

Appointment of Mr.P.W.Muthanna as Sub Assistant Conservator.

Note for Circulation.

With the gracious approval of H.H., Government sanctioned in G.O.No.A.F.5947-9/Ft.169-42-2 dated the 30th April 1943, the appointment of a Sub Assistant Conservator of Forests as a temporary addition to the cadre for a period of two years in the first instance, the incumbent to be in charge of the Manjarabad Range in connection with the collection of trees suitable for manufacture of plywood and other wood products and their supply to the Indian Industrial Trust Ltd., the cost of this additional officer and his establishment being borne by the Trust subject to a maximum of Rs.5,000/- per annum.

In his letter No.E.205-627/42 dated 24th February 1943 the Chief Conservator of Forests recommended that Mr.P.W.Muthanna, B.Sc., LL.B., Ranger, who returned to duty on 4-2-1943 after successfully completing training and obtaining the degree of Master of Forestry at the University of Washington, may be appointed as Sub Assistant Conservator against the above mentioned post. Incidentally, the question of appointment as Sub Assistant Conservator of Mr.Y.M.L.Sharma, M.Sc., who had already returned after completing the superior Forest Service Course in Forestry at the Dehra Dun Research Institute and who was appointed probationer on Rs.100/-, also came up for consideration (vide notes paras 1-26).

Messrs:
K.S.Bhagavan
D.Manjundappa
B.H.Gulab Singh

The marginally noted officers who had similar academic qualifications were first appointed as probationers and posted to the charge of Ranges to enable them to gain experience of departmental routine and were then appointed as Sub Assistant Conservators. Mr.Sharma was appointed as probationer on Rs.100 per mensem from 25-4-42 on his return from Dehra Dun and posted to Sacrebyle Range where he served for about 8 months, and was later posted to the Rangers' School

as Instructor.

When the papers regarding the filling up of the special Sub Assistant Conservator's place were referred ~~to~~ unofficially to the Chief Conservator of Forests, the latter replied that Mr.Muthanna who had put in 3½ years of service as Ranger and acquired the necessary working knowledge and experience of the routine departmental operations, may be appointed as Sub Assistant Conservator in preference to Mr.Sharma who entered service on 26-8-39 only and who was therefore junior to Mr.Muthanna.

It is now considered that Mr.Muthanna is senior to Mr.Sharma and that it is unnecessary to insist on Mr.Muthanna serving a term as probationer in actual charge of a Range in view of his past service and qualifications. It is accordingly proposed to accept the recommendation of the Chief Conservator of Forests and appoint Mr.Muthanna as Sub Assistant Conservator.

48

For Disposal by Circulation.

No. C. 114

.....of 1943-44.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Council Matter

Subject

Proposal to appoint Mr. P. W. Muthanna, Ranger, as Sub Asst. Conservator.

Circulated to the Council of Ministers.

By Order,

Wadamyaachamy

Chief Secretary to Government.
29/7/43

29th July 1943.

620/2/8 **Minister for Revenue and Law.** 3/8/43

619/30/7 **Minister for Public Works.** 31.7.

899/31/7 **Minister for Local Self-Government and Public Health.** 31.7.43

Minister for Industries and Supplies.

607/2/8/43. **Minister for Education.** 2/8/43

WD 02720—GPB—500—24-4-43

3/8/43

8015

49

Sd/- N. Sahd.

For approval
(Gl Secy)

Jms
6.8.43

6/8.

5/8

Signed J.N.
placed in file.
14.8.43.

Minister I&S) ... 6/8 Jms

11/8

Dewan) — 14/8 Jms

GENERAL SECRETARY
6 AUG. 1943
846

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER
FOR INDUSTRIES & SUPPLIES
AUG 7 1943
No. 688

DEWAN'S OFFICE
1943
938

Final Note by the Minister for Industries and Commerce Supplies

Subject.— Appointment of Mr.P.W. Muthanna as Sub-Assistant Conservator of Forests.

With the gracious approval of His Highness, Government in their Order No.A.F.5947-9/Ft.169-42-2 dated the 30th April 1943 sanctioned the appointment of a Sub-Assistant Conservator as a temporary addition to the cadre for a period of two years in the first instance. This officer was to be in charge of the Manjarabad Range in connection with the collection of trees suitable for the manufacture of plywood and other wood products and their supply to the Indian Industrial Trust, Ltd. The cost of this additional officer and his establishment was to be borne by the said Trust subject to a maximum of Rs.5,000/- per annum.

In his letter No.E.205-627/42 dated 24th February 1943, the Chief Conservator of Forests has recommended that -- Mr.P.W.Muthanna, B.Sc.,LL.B., Ranger, who returned to duty on 4th February 1943 after successfully completing training and obtaining the degree of Master of Forestry at the University of Washington, may be appointed as Sub-Assistant Conservator against the above mentioned post.

The marginally noted officers who had similar academic qualifications were first appointed as probationers and posted to the charge of Rangers to enable them to gain experience of departmental routine and were then appointed as Sub-Assistant Conservators.

Messrs.
N.S.Bhagavan,
D.Nanjundappa,
B.H.Gulabsingh, and
Y.M.L.Sarma.

The Chief Conservator of Forests states, however, that as - Mr. Muthanna had already put in 3½ years of service as Ranger and as he had acquired the necessary working knowledge and experience of the routine departmental operations even prior to his going to America for higher training in Forestry, it would be --

unnecessary

unnecessary to insist on his serving a term as probationer.

Para 4/042 of Notes.

Para 44 of Reg. B

It is proposed to accept the recommendation of the Chief Conservator of Forests and appoint Mr. Muthanna as Sub-Assistant Conservator. The Dewan and the other Ministers are agreeable to the proposal and I solicit the gracious approval of His Highness to the same.

M. Venkatasubbaiah
Minister,
Industries & Supplies.

Camp Mysore,
13th August 1943.

52/

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the Minister for Industries and Supplies on the subject of the proposal to appoint Mr. P.W. Muthanna as Sub-Assistant Conservator of Forests, for His Highness's gracious approval.

N Madhava Rao

Bangalore,
14th August 1943.

D E W A N.

31

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

No. 143
15.8.43

Subject.

Proposal to appoint Mr.P.W. Muthanna as Sub-Assistant Conservator of Forests.

No. 145

Order.

17/8/43.

19/8/43

17/8/43

59

Sir.

Issue orders before circulation as the CCP has asked for orders urgently.

TYPING SEC
20 AUG 1943
G.

19/8

XXX
19.8.43

Draft notification submitted
19/8/43 — For approval
(G. Secy)
20/8/43

SECRETARY
20 AUG. 1943

Issue
20/8/43

Issued vide No. 9886 dt. 23/8/43

General and Revenue

Secretariat.

C114 3015 51

SUBJECT:—

Proposal to appoint Mr. P.W.Muthanna as Sub-Assistant Conservator of Forests.

(*)

14. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Ministers for perusal after the issue of orders.

16. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after, to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Ministers.

17. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Ministers at Headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

Circulated to Council of Ministers for perusal of His Highness' orders ~~before~~/after issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 14, 16 and 17 of the Subsidiary Rules of the Mysore Government Business Rules.) (*)

Chief Secretary to Government.
19/8/43

Through the Secretary to Government,

General.....Department. 19/8

Minister for Revenue and Law. 7.9.43

Minister for Public Works. 5.9.

Minister for Local Self-Govt. and Public Health. (on duty)

Minister for Industries and ~~Commerce~~. Supplies. 29/8/43

Minister for Education. 1/9

1557/c/9

1479/M/9

1109/24.8.43

1370/1/9

20 CB circulation

GEN. & R. SECT. 10 SEP. 1943

NOTE.—Contn. sheet

WD 02718—GPB—500—21-4-43

7/9/43

7/9/43

Ft-172-42-1

3077 / 47

MYSORE FOREST DEPARTMENT.

No. E.205-627/42
Encl. one.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS
IN MYSORE,
Bangalore, dated 24th Febry. 1943.

FROM

C. ABDUL JABBAR, ESQ., B.A.,
Chief Conservator of Forests in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

N. MADHAVA RAO, Esq., B.A.,
General Secretary to Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

25 FEB. 1943

GENERAL SECRETARY
25 FEB. 1943
Ft-

Sir,

Re:- Proposal to appoint Mr. P.W. Muthanna, B.Sc., LL.B., Ranger who has returned after successful training in America, as Sub Assistant Conservator of Forests.

Adverting to your letter and the Government Orders noted in the margin, permitting the above Ranger to proceed to America to

1) Letter No.AF. 2474/Ft.96-40-4 dated 5-11-1940.
2) G.O. No.AF. 1469-70/Ft. 7-41-4 dated 23-7-1941.
3) G.O. No.AF. 426-27/Ft. 13-42-2 dated 24-7-1942.
4) G.O. No.AF. 1939-40/Ft. 49-42-4 dated 21-9-1942.

to undergo training in Forestry and granting him an allowance of Rs.100/- per mensem from 1st July 1941 till the date of his leaving America, I have the honour to state that he returned to duty on 4th February 1943 (Forenoon) after successfully completing the training and obtaining the degree of Master of Forestry at the University of Washington. A copy of the certificate produced by him is forwarded herewith for the perusal of Government.

In this connection I have to state that the case of Mr. Muthanna is analogous to that of Mr. K. Keshavagopal Naidu, B.Sc., who on return after obtaining foreign qualifications in Forestry was appointed as a Sub Assistant Conservator of Forests as per Government Notification No.AF. 14/Ft. 2-38-85 dated 21st October 1939. Just as Mr. Keshavagopal Naidu, Mr. Muthanna, had put in 3½ years of service as Ranger and acquired the necessary working knowledge and experience of the routine Departmental operations even prior to his proceeding to America for higher training in Forestry. As such it

it is but fair that his case also deserves to be treated alike by appointing him as a Sub Assistant Conservator of Forests.

No doubt the marginally noted officers who had similar academic qualifications were first appointed as Probationers and posted to the charge of the Rangers to enable them to gain experience of departmental routine. But as Mr. Muthanna has already served as Range Officer for 3½ years. I am of opinion that a probationary period in his case is superfluous.

Messrs.
N. S. Bhagavan.
D. Manjundappa.
B. H. Gulab Singh.
Y. M. L. Sharma.

Proposals are under submission to Government separately for sanctioning among other matters, the post of a Sub Assistant Conservator of Forests necessary for supervising the operations connected with the exploitation of the forests in the Manjarabad Range, leased out to the Indian Industrial Development Trust. I recommend that Mr. Muthanna may be kindly appointed Sub Assistant Conservator of Forests against this post and request the favour of your communicating to me the orders of Government in the matter early.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Chief Conservator Forests.

58

THE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON.

To all to whom these letters shall come, Greeting:

The Regents of the University on recommendation of the University Faculty and by virtue of the Authority vested in Them by Law have this day admitted.

MUTHANNA WOOTHIAH PALEKANDA

to the degree of

MASTER OF FORESTRY

and have granted all the Rights Privileges and honours thereto pertaining.

Given at Seattle in the State of Washington this Thirttenth Day of June in the year of our Lord One Thousand Nine Hundred and Forty-two and of the University the Eighty-second.

UNIVERSITY SEAL.

(Sd). Lee Paul sieg,
PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY.

"TRUE COPY"

(Sd). Frederick M. Padelford,
Dean.

59

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

Section 7C-

File No. 172-42

Serial No. 3.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. A.F. 4981.

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19* .

Date of issue 15th Mar 43

Signed by .....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter no. E 205-627/429 dt 24th Feb 43 I am recommending the appt of Mr P.O. Muthanna as S.A.C. I am desired to ~~request~~ say that you may discuss the question with the Min. Finn & Rev. in his chamber ~~in the P.O.~~ on any day during office hrs. ~~to discuss the question with him~~

Yours faithfully

C. Abdul Jabbar Engr PWA

C. C. A.

WD 086—GPB—40,000—30-7-40

13/3/43

13/3/43

7-172-42-2

3315
A7 60

Bangalore,

Dated 4th February 1943.

From

P.W. Muthanna, LL.B., B.Sc.,
M.F.(Wash), Fty.Hons.(U.S.A.).,
Forest Range Officer,
Bangalore.

15 MAR 1943

To

The Chief Conservator of Forests
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

In person
15/3/43.

Sir,

In continuation of my interview with you, may I be permitted to submit the following memorandum for your kind perusal and suitable orders?

It was kindly sanctioned by you as early as in September 1939 for my procedure to Edinbrough (Scotland) for general forestry studies and owing to the out break of war in the Continent and for reasons of requiring me, further, specialised in wood preservation I was desired to study at the University of Washington in U.S.A.

Accordingly, I have now returned from America after the successful completion of my studies having obtained the degree of Master of Forestry with the distinction of an University Honours. I have specialised in wood preservation as desired, in addition to my studies in the other Forestry subjects, for that degree; and also have had the occasion to study American methods of wild life management, while at College.

Further, I may be permitted to state that I am the son of late Mr. P. Woothish who served the Mysore Forest Service as a

61/

District Forest Officer, and that I completed my probationery period successfully, before proceeding abroad for higher forestry studies.

In view of the above facts and the higher qualifications that I have now obtained from the University of Washington, I submit that I be similarly encouraged as to my pay and prospects as were done in the cases of American qualified forest officers of the Mysore Forest Service, in the past.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

P N Kartikanna

Copy,

Submitted to the Secretary to the Government
General Department. Bangalore.

For the perusal of the Government of Mysore.

P N Kartikanna

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

R e a d:-

Government Order No.D.560-639/I & C 567-41-12 dated the 21st July 1942 approving the terms and conditions subject to which the right of collection of trees suitable for the manufacture of plywood and other wood products may be leased out in favour of the Indian Industrial Trust Ltd., the extra cost on account of the staff to be stationed in the area subject to a maximum of Rs.5000/- per annum being borne by the Trust.

2. Letter No.E.216-659-42 dated 24th February 1943 from the Chief Conservator of Forests in Mysore, recommending for reasons explained, the appointment of a Sub Assistant Conservator of Forests with certain establishment to be in charge of the Manjarabad Range.

Order No. A7 5947-9/FC-169-42=2.

Dated, Bangalore the 29th April 1943.

The Chief Conservator of Forests has explained that the Manjarabad Range in which the forests leased out to the Trust are situated extends over two Taluks of Manjarabad and Belur and that additional work is involved on account of the regeneration of the exploited area. He considers that the Officer-in-Charge

1. One Forester on Rs.35-2-45 and
2. Three Head Guards on Rs.18/- each.

of the Range should have sufficient status to enable him to move on equal terms with the technical officers of the Factory, that he should also have sufficient technical knowledge to guide the timber operations methodically and that therefore it is necessary that an officer

	Average cost per month.
1. One Sub Assistant Conservator of Forests on Rs.125-15-200.....	Rs.175/-
2. One Forester on Rs.35-2-45.....	Rs. 41 2/3
3. Three Head Guards on Rs.18/- each..	Rs. 54/-
Total.....	Rs.270/- 2/3 or Rs.3,248 per annum.

of the Status of a Sub Assistant Conservator

63

Minus the cost of a Ranger's post in grade Rs.50-3-65 which will be kept vacant.	60 or Rs.720/- per annum.	of Forests should be placed in charge of
Net Extra cost or	Rs.210 2/3 p.m Rs.2528/- p.a.	

the Range. He further states that it is not possible to redistribute the existing staff of officers to enable an officer of the grade of a Sub Assistant Conservator being posted to the Manjarabad Range and therefore, recommends a temporary addition to the cadre of Sub Assistant Conservator of Forests for a period of two years in the first instance during which period the place of a Ranger in the IVth grade will be kept vacant. He also proposes that the entertainment of an extra staff of one Forester and three Head Guards, as noted in the margin, in addition to the present staff would be necessary the extra cost of Rs.2,528 per annum as per details noted in the margin on account of his present proposal being met out of the annual contribution of Rs.5000/- agreed to be paid by the Trust for the purpose.

Government are pleased to sanction the appointment of a Sub Assistant Conservator as a temporary addition to the cadre together with the establishment referred to above for a period of two years in the first instance, the extra cost of Rs.2,528/- per annum on account of the above proposal being met out of the annual contribution of Rs.5,000/- agreed to be paid by the Trust.

Secretary to Government,
General Department.

To

The Chief Conservator of Forests in Mysore.
The Comptroller to Government through the Financial Sect
The Secretary to Government, Development Department.

Aga/M.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. F.F. 986/Ge-172-42-4 General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated Bangalore, 3 August 194 3

NOTIFICATION.

Mr. P.V. Muthanna, B.Sc., LL.B., Ranger is appointed Sub Assistant Conservator of Forests, temporary, against the post sanctioned in Government Order No.A.F.5947-9/Ft.169-42-2 dated the 30th April 1943.

By Order,

H M Seemasanga
23/8/43

Secretary to Government,
General Department.

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication.

16

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT.....

Section 71.
File No. 172-42
Serial No. 4.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. A.F. 986.

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *194* .

Notification

Mr. P. W. Muthanna, B.Sc. LL.B. Ranger is appointed Sub Asst Conservator of Forests, temporary, against the post sanctioned in Govt order No. AF-5947-9/Ft 169-42-29 the 30th April 1943.

Date of issue 23rd Aug 43

Signed by Sir S. Ramaswami

By order

for Sec to Govt

List of enclosures.....

Copied by 28/8/43

The Comptroller, mysore Gazette for publication.

No. A.F. 987-8 23.8.43

Copies to CCF & the Comptroller

Examined by.....

19/8/43